

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/500,264	12/08/2004	Andrea Mahn	4121-168	9836
7590 05/16/2006			EXAMINER	
Steven J Hultquist			WORLEY, CATHY KINGDON	
Intellectual Prop PO Box 14329	perty Technology Law		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			1638	

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/500,264	MAHN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Cathy K. Worley	1638			
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORT WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perio - Failure to r Any reply r	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. d for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we eply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eccived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed on May	<u>3, 2006</u> .				
2a)∐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
Clos	sed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of	of Claims		•			
5)	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrave im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.					
Application F	Papers		•			
10)☐ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accellicant may not request that any objection to the clacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctionath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		, .				
2) Notice of I 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) S)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

In the communication received on May 3, 2006, the Applicant has indicated they were not clear about what inventions were in group I and in group II. This second restriction requirement is being mailed to clarify the groups to facilitate election of an invention to be examined. The Examiner apologizes for the lack of clarity in the previous restriction requirement mailed on April 14, 2006.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

There are twenty-six thousand one hundred and twelve inventions, each of which is a unique combination of different elements (see lists of elements below).

The 26,112 was calculated by multiplying 4 x 2 x 2 x 3 x 34 x 2 x 2 x 4, which are the number of choices for each element in lists a-h, below.

Art Unit: 1638

choices)

Groups 1 – 26,112, claim(s) 1-19 (in part), drawn to a method of increasing the content of one or more transgene-coded biomolecules in an organism, the method comprising changing the distribution of ATP and/or ADP in cells of the organism; where in:

- a) the transgene is regulated in a specified manner: constitutive, temporally, locally, inducibly (4 choices)
- b) several transgene biomolecules are expressed in parallel or sequentially (2 choices)
- c) there is a specified host organism: plant or animal (2 choices)
- d) the transgene encodes a specified type of biomolecule: peptide, protein, or nucleic acid (3 choices)
- e) the transgene encodes a specified class of molecule: antibodies, aptamers, receptors, enzymes, growth factors, hormones, specific antigen, antibody molecules, interferons, immunoglobulins, growth hormones, insulin, collagen, plasminogen activator, blood factor I, blood factor II, blood factor III, blood factor IV, blood factor V, blood factor VI, blood factor VIII, blood factor IX, blood factor X, blood factor XII, histocompatibility antigens, enzymes, tumor marker proteins, viral proteins, ribozymes, single-stranded DNA, double-stranded DNA, single-stranded RNA, or double-stranded RNA (34 choices)

 f) subcellular distribution of ATP and/or ADP is either increased or reduced (2

Application/Control Number: 10/500,264

Art Unit: 1638

g) expression of a gene which codes for a protein involved in the subcellular distribution of ATP and/or ADP is either increased or decreased (2 choices) and

h) the expression of a gene which codes for a protein involved in the subcellular distribution of ATP and/or ADP is constitutive or regulated temporally, locally, or inducibly (4 choices);

and wherein each of the groups 1 · 26,112 has a different combination of the items listed in parts a-h.

The inventions listed as Groups 1-26,112 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking groups 1-26,112 is a method comprising changing the distribution of ATP and/or ADP in the cells of an organism wherein the content of one or more transgene-coded biomolecules is increased. However, Tjaden et al. (The Plant Journal (1998) Vol. 16, pp. 531-540) teach altered ATP distribution (see page 533, Table 2) and teach increased content of AATP1 which is a transgene-coded biomolecule (see page 533, figure 2A). Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of groups 1-26,112 does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,264

Art Unit: 1638

Accordingly, groups 1-26,112 are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

Applicant is advised to select one item from each group (a-h). Any claims drawn to non-elected subject matter will be withdrawn from consideration.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse.

To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if

Application/Control Number: 10/500,264

Art Unit: 1638

Page 6

one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cathy K. Worley whose telephone number is (571) 272-8784. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on (571) 272-0975.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CKW May 5, 2006

ANNE MARIE GRUNBERG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER